

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/821,129

Applicant(s)

DUQUESNOIS, LAURENT

Examiner

Greg Bengzon

Art Unit

2144

**All Participants:**(1) Greg Bengzon.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 2/15/2008**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_(3) Dan Piotrowski, Reg. No. 42079.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**


Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***Atty. Piotrowski did not respond to multiple inquiries left via voice mail regarding status of said application.***Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
WILLIAM VAUGHN  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

